## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

United States of America,				
	Plaintiff,	) 8:07CR396 )		
	vs.	) DETENTION ORDER )		
Kel	sey L. Peterson,	)		
	Defendant.	)		
A.	Order For Detention After conducting a detention hearing pursu Reform Act, the Court orders the above-na U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (i).			
B.	Statement Of Reasons For The Detention The Court orders the defendant's detention X By a preponderance of the evidence conditions will reasonably assure the required. By clear and convincing evidence the conditions will reasonably assure the community.	n because it finds: e that no condition or combination of e appearance of the defendant as nat no condition or combination of		
C.		ervices Report, and includes the following: ne offense charged: rtation of a minor with intent to engage al sexual activity is a serious crime and carries a life imprisonment.		
	X (c) The offense involves a r			
		<del>_</del>		

## DETENTION ORDER - Page 2

<u> </u>	The defendant has no family ties in the area. The defendant has no steady employment. The defendant has no substantial financial resources. The defendant is not a long time resident of the community. The defendant does not have any significant community.
	The defendant does not have any significant community ties.
	Past conduct of the defendant:
	The defendant has a history relating to drug abuse. The defendant has a history relating to alcohol abuse. The defendant has a significant prior criminal record. The defendant has a prior record of failure to appear at court proceedings. The time of the current arrest, the defendant was on: Probation Parole Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of sentence. The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to deportation. The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted. The Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (BICE) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal.
	Other:
release a	e and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's re as follows: d to Mexico without notice to her employer or family because
of work	issues.
In determ relied on t § 3142(e) X (a) That ass saf	lining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: at no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably sure the appearance of the defendant as required and the lety of any other person and the community because the Court
<u></u>	ds that the crime involves:  (

	$\mathcal{C}$	
	(3	) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more; or
	(4	
(b)	That no co	andition or combination of conditions will reasonably
(3)		appearance of the defendant as required and the
		ne community because the Court finds that there is
probable cause to believe:		•
	•	) That the defendant has committed a controlled
	('	substance violation which has a maximum penalty of
		10 years or more.
	(2	) That the defendant has committed an offense under
	(2	18 U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during
		and in relation to any crime of violence, including a
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		crime of violence, which provides for an enhanced
		punishment if committed by the use of a deadly or dangerous weapon or device).
		uanuenuus weadun on device).

## D. Additional Directives

**DETENTION ORDER - Page 3** 

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

- The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and
- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: December 10, 2007.

BY THE COURT:

s/ F. A. Gossett United States Magistrate Judge